

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	French
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1285811
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	French
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1285811
Title	JPA 9: Walshaw
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Building on greenbelt land and making green space elsewhere makes no sense at all. Where will all the extra traffic from Elton Walshaw and Seedfield go? Bury Bridge already backs up considerably. There isn't enough local employment to sustain such a big increase in housing. During the COVID pandemic it highlighted the difference in health and life expectancy in the North West compared to the rest of the country so why pack even more people in. Green space is essential for well-being and division of conurbations. The infrastructure of hospital provision and main roads cannot cope with the increase.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Scrap the plans. Improve the housing stock already built and use brownfield sites. If that's not enough then stick to what will fit on those sites.